# EXPRESSION OF MRF4 IN CRANIAL REGIONS OF *XENOPUS LAEVIS* EMBRYOS

Myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs) are transcription factors that regulate gene expression in skeletal muscle cells. In mammals, expression of the four genes that make up the MRF family, MyoD, Myf5, myogenin and MRF4, is largely confined to the skeletal muscle cell lineage. The frog *Xenopus laevis* is an attractive model system for studying MRF expression and the roles of these genes during embryogenesis. The embryonic cell populations that express these genes, particularly MRF4, are not, however, precisely known in *Xenopus*. Published *in situ* hybridization results have shown MRF4 mRNA in somites, but studies in our laboratory also show MRF4 expression in the anterior region, possibly in the eye primordia and the brain. To confirm this, we dissected embryos into ventral, anterior, and dorsal regions and assessed MRF4 mRNA from each region by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Our results indicate that MRF4 is expressed in the anterior region at a level comparable to that found in the dorsal region where the somites are located. This suggests that MRF4 may be involved more broadly in cell differentiation in *Xenopus laevis* than it is in mammals.

Student Researcher: Kathelyn Larson, Mt. Edgecumbe High School
Mentors: Dr. Timothy Hinterberger, WWAMI Biomedical Program; Emma Coleman, Smith College, Anchorage, Alaska

## BACKGROUND

MRFs control gene expression during specific cellular events (eg, myoblast specification, myofiber differentiation, and myofiber maintenance, hypertrophy, repair, or regeneration) but their individual roles in these events remain incompletely understood.[1] This gene family is conserved throughout the vertebrate classes, yet the individual expression patterns of each gene differ among representatives of the classes that have been examined. Several observed differences between rodents and *Xenopus* indicate that the MRF gene regulatory network must function somewhat differently in *Xenopus* than it does in mammals.[2]

While studying the regulation of MRF4 expression in *Xenopus laevis*, we have consistently obtained results by whole-mount *in situ* hybridization for XMRF4 mRNA in a pattern consistent with its presence in forebrain, eyes, and other neural structures, in addition to the somites, at neurula through tailbud stages. Della Gaspera et al,[3] however, have recently published *in situ* hybridization data for XMRF4 describing expression only in the somites. To test whether our *in situ* hybridization results are correct, we turned to reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) as an independent measure of XMRF4 mRNA in the anterior embryo.

## METHODS

Ovulation was induced in gravid females and eggs were fertilized by standard methods.[4] Embryos were maintained in 0.1× MMR at room temperature or at 16°C and the jelly coat was removed with 2% cysteine in 0.4× MMR, pH 8. At desired stages, vitelline membranes were removed manually and the embryos were dissected into three regions, dorsal, ventral, and anterior. Care was taken to ensure that the anterior regions lay entirely rostral to the first somite. RNA was prepared using RNAwiz reagent (Ambion) according to the manufacturer's directions. Concentrations of total RNA were determined with spectrophotometry, verified by gel electrophoresis, and adjusted to 0.5 µg/µL. First-strand cDNA was prepared from 0.5 µg total RNA with MMLV reverse transcriptase (Epicentre Biotechnologies) using random primers. One-tenth of a cDNA reaction was used as the template for PCR. Primers used for PCR were:

XMRF4: up, TCCACCAGGACTACAACCCC; down, ATGGTCAGGAATATGGTGCC
EF1α up, CAGATTGGTGCTGGATATGC; down, -ACTGCCTTGATGACTCCTAG

These XMRF4 primers amplify a sequence region that spans the first intron of the gene to ensure that genomic DNA contamination cannot be the source of the signal. For PCR we used JumpStart ReadyMix (Sigma) reagents in 50-µL reactions for either 30 or 35 cycles according to the manufacturer's directions. Relative amounts of PCR products were visualized by loading 10 µL onto 2% agarose gels. Following electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining, gels were photographed with a Kodak EDS290 system.

## RESULTS

RT-PCR with 30 cycles of amplification clearly showed dorsal and anterior XMRF4 expression in mid-neurula

characterized, we are now ready to proceed with the screen.

There are a number of potential outcomes from the genetic screen. It is possible that we will find downstream repair enzymes, repair factors from alternate repair pathways, or an unexpected result from the screen. The screen is unbiased meaning any preconceived notions about the screen will have no affect on the genes we actually identify. The unbiased nature of the screen means that we could identify genes rescuing the phenotype that we would never have normally considered.

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank David A. Robinson, Dr. Jocelyn E. Krebs, all the members from the Krebs lab, the NIH NIDDK Step-Up program, the UAA WWAMI Biomedical program, and the NIH NCRR SEPA NorthStar program.

## References

1. Harvey AC, Jackson SP, Downs JA. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* histone H2A Ser122 facilitates DNA repair. *Gen Soc Of Amer.* 2005;170: 543–553.
2. Moore JD, Yazgan O, Ataian Y, Krebs JE. Diverse roles for histone H2A modifications in DNA damage response pathways in reast. *Gen Soc Of Amer Genetics,* 176:15–25.